

Legende

Fragetext

Lectures, Sommer 2025 (n=6)

Erfasste Fragebögen = 39

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

0%

Rechter Pol

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten

Linker Pol

n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung Skala Histogramm 2. Personal information ^{2.1)} Sex n=38 18,4% female 78,9% 0% diverse no answer () 2,6% ^{2.2)} Phase of study n=39 Bachelor 23,1% Master 71,8% other (5,1% Semester, study term n=39 1-2 (33,3% 25,6% 5-6 33,3% 7-8 2,6% 9-10 5,1% more than 10 0% ^{2.4)} Field of study, type of school, if teacher Mathematics, or Department n=38 Mathematics 31,6% Technomathematics (7,9% Mathematics and Economics 18,4% Computer Science 5,3% Data Science 15,8% Bioengineering, Chemical Engineering 2,6% Process System Engineering () 2,6% Automation and Robotics (13,2% OTHER () 2,6%

Lectures, Sommer 2025 (n=6) 47,4% 13,2% 2,6% 0% Interest in the subject of the lecture n=38 very high very low mw=1,82 md=2 s=0,77 3. Questions concerning interest and learning process, comments regarding the course 52.6% 13.2% 2.6% n=38 mw=1,87 md=2 Interest in the subject of the lecture very high very low s=0.74 12,8% 64,1% 17,9% 5,1% n=39 mw=2,15 md=2 s=0,71 Rating of your personal learning progress in this very low very high course 4. Evaluation of the lecturer and the course 12,8% 2,6% The course contributes to a regular engagement n=39 mw=1,74 md=2 s=0,79 I totally agree I totally disagree of the topics. 6 33.3% 17.9% 7.7% 0% 0% 4.2) The course is well structured n=39 mw=1,92 md=2 I totally agree I totally disagree s=0,96 42,1% 34,2% 13,2% 10,5% 0% n=38 mw=1,92 md=2 Enough examples and applications I totally agree I totally disagree 71,8% 20,5% 5,1% 2,6% 0% 0% The lecturer responds well to student questions n=39 mw=1,38 md=1 s=0,71 I totally agree I totally disagree and suggestions. 23,1% 66,7% 5,1% 4.5) Tempo / pace of the lecture n=39 mw=2,72 md=3 s=0,65 too fast too slow 44,7% 31,6% 13,2% 7,9% n=38 mw=1,92 md=2 s=1,08 The teaching and learning materials (e.g. I totally agree I totally disagree literature, script, slides, ...) are available to the appropriate extent and in good quality. Time workload per week for course work in total - (in hours) n=35

less than 2 hours

more than 2, up to 5 hours

more than 5, up to 8 hours

more than 8, up to 12 hours

more than 12, up to 16 hours

more than 16, up to 20 hours

more than 20 hours

5,7%


48,6%

37,1%


8,6%

0% 0%

0%




 $^{5.10)}$ Overall evaluation of the tutorial / the tutor



n=37 mw=1,95 md=2 s=1,03

6. Framework conditions, attending of the course


Rating of the **general conditions** of the course (e. g. also time, size, information, ...)



n=37 mw=1,76 md=2 s=0,76

n=37

^{6.3)} Do you still attend the course?



n=38

n=39

mw=1.87

mw=2.15

Profillinie

Zusammenstellung:

Lectures, Sommer 2025 (n=6)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

2. Personal information


^{2.5)} Interest in the subject of the lecture



3. Questions concerning interest and learning process, comments regarding the course

3.1) Interest in the subject of the lecture

3.2) Rating of your personal learning progress in this course




4. Evaluation of the lecturer and the course


- 4.1) The course contributes to a regular engagement of the topics.
- 4.2) The course is well structured
- ^{4.3)} Enough examples and applications
- 4.4) The lecturer responds well to student questions and suggestions.
- 4.5) Tempo / pace of the lecture
- 4.6) The teaching and learning materials (e.g. literature, script, slides, ...) are available to the appropriate extent and in good quality.
- 4.11) Overall evaluation of the lecture
- 4.12) Overall evaluation of the lecturer



5. Evaluation of the tutorlals



- ^{5.3)} Correlation between exercices and lecture
- ^{5.4)} Overall evaluation ot the **exercises**
- ^{5.5)} Exercises are discussed meaningfully
- 5.6) The tutor responds well to student questions and suggestions.
- 5.7) Involvement of the tutor
- 5.8) Expertise of the tutor



5.9) The tutorial helps me to understand the subject of the course (additions to the lecture, independent work on (other) exercises, ...)

 $^{5.10)}$ Overall evaluation of the tutorial / the tutor



n=38 mw=1,97

n=37 mw=1,95

6. Framework conditions, attending of the course

Rating of the **general conditions** of the course (e.g. also time, size, information, ...)

