

Legende

Vorlesungen (englisch), Winter 2024/2025 (n=6) Erfasste Fragebögen = 88

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Mittelwert

Median 0%


Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Std.-Abw.

Fra	getext	Linker Pol 25% Skala	2	3 4 5 Histogramm	Rechter Pol		n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert md=Median s=StdAbw. E.=Enthaltung
2.	Personal information						
2.1)	Sex						
	CON	fema				16,1%	n=87
		ma				83,9%	
		diver	_			0%	
		no answ				0%	
2.2)	Phase of study						
	•	Bachel	or 🗍			4,5%	n=88
		Mast	er (93,2%	
		oth	er ()			2,3%	
2.3)	Semester, study term						
		1	-2			80,7%	n=88
		3	-4			11,4%	
		5	-6)		6,8%	
		7	-8			0%	
		9-	10			0%	
		more than	10 🛚			1,1%	
2.4)	Field of study, type of school, if teacher is	Mathematics, or Departmen	nt				
		Mathemati	cs 🗌)		6,8%	n=88
		Technomathemati	cs ()			3,4%	
		Industrial Mathemati	cs _			13,6%	
		Mathematics and Economi)		8%	
		Statisti				1,1%	
		Data Scien	_			3,4%	
		ng, Logistics, Industrial Engineeri	-			1,1%	
	Electrical I	Engineering, Information Technolog	_			3,4%	
		Automation and Roboti	_			58%	
		OTHE	R [1,1%	


37,5%

8%

Interest in the subject of the lecture n=88 very low very high mw=1,73 md=2 s=0,93 3. Questions concerning interest and learning process, comments regarding the course 2.3% n=88 mw=1,73 md=2 Interest in the subject of the lecture very high very low s=0.81 34,1% 37,5% 19,3% 6,8% 2,3% n=88 mw=2,06 md=2 s=1,01 Rating of your personal learning progress in this very low very high course 4. Evaluation of the lecturer and the course The course contributes to a regular engagement n=86 mw=1,76 md=2 s=0,78 I totally agree I totally disagree of the topics. 6 53.4% 38.6% 2.3% 4.5% 1.1% 0% 4.2) The course is well structured n=88 mw=1,61 md=1 I totally agree I totally disagree s=0,84 47,1% 25,3% 18,4% 8% 1,1% n=87 mw=1,91 md=2 s=1,04 Enough examples and applications I totally agree I totally disagree 67% 21,6% 9,1% 2,3% 0% 0% The lecturer responds well to student questions n=88 I totally agree I totally disagree mw=1,47 md=1 s=0,76 and suggestions. 6 22,7% 60,2% 6,8% 10,2% 4.5) Tempo / pace of the lecture n=88 mw=2,64 md=3 s=0,76 too fast too slow 46,6% 29,5% 14,8% 5,7% n=88 mw=1,9 md=2 s=1,07 The teaching and learning materials (e.g. I totally agree I totally disagree literature, script, slides, ...) are available to the appropriate extent and in good quality. Time workload per week for course work in total - (in hours) n=84 less than 2 hours 7,1% more than 2, up to 5 hours 54,8% more than 5, up to 8 hours 26,2% more than 8, up to 12 hours 10,7% more than 12, up to 16 hours 1,2% more than 16, up to 20 hours 0% more than 20 hours 0%




 $^{5.10)}$ Overall evaluation of the tutorial / the tutor



n=87 mw=1,43 md=1 s=0,68

6. Framework conditions, attending of the course


Rating of the **general conditions** of the course (e. g. also time, size, information, ...)



n=85 mw=1,72 md=2 s=0,75

n=87

^{6.3)} Do you still attend the course?



Profillinie

Zusammenstellung:

Vorlesungen (englisch), Winter 2024/2025 (n=6)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

2. Personal information


^{2.5)} Interest in the subject of the lecture



3. Questions concerning interest and learning process, comments regarding the course


3.1) Interest in the subject of the lecture

8.2) Rating of your personal learning progress in this course




4. Evaluation of the lecturer and the course


- 4.1) The course contributes to a regular engagement of the topics.
- 4.2) The course is well structured
- ^{4.3)} Enough examples and applications
- 4.4) The lecturer responds well to student questions and suggestions.
- 4.5) Tempo / pace of the lecture
- 4.6) The teaching and learning materials (e.g. literature, script, slides, ...) are available to the appropriate extent and in good quality.
- 4.11) Overall evaluation of the **lecture**
- 4.12) Overall evaluation of the lecturer



5. Evaluation of the tutorlals



- 5.3) Correlation between exercices and lecture
- 5.4) Overall evaluation ot the exercises
- ^{5.5)} Exercises are discussed meaningfully
- 5.6) The tutor responds well to student questions and suggestions.
- 5.7) Involvement of the tutor
- 5.8) Expertise of the tutor



5.9) The tutorial helps me to understand the subject of the course (additions to the lecture, independent work on (other) exercises, ...)

 $^{5.10)}$ Overall evaluation of the tutorial / the tutor



n=87 mw=1,56

n=87 mw=1,43

6. Framework conditions, attending of the course

Rating of the **general conditions** of the course (e.g. also time, size, information, ...)

